

In the discussion about the adjunction between the derived stacks and higher stacks the adjunction is written the wrong way around! The inclusion of higher stacks into derived stacks should be a LEFT adjoint to the π_0 functor from derived stacks to higher stacks. Not a right adjoint as I stupidly said and wrote down...note that my following example of the derived zero section is totally stupid under the wrong adjunction because the wrong adjunction says that pullbacks in schemes are the same as pullbacks in derived stacks so you don't get anything new by considering the pullback of schemes in derived stacks! Under the correct adjunction you do actually get something interesting when you take the pullback in derived stacks because Left adjoints don't preserve pullbacks.