

Duality transfer morphisms

Let k be a perfect field and $\mathcal{E}m_k$ be the category of smooth k -varieties, i.e. smooth finite-type (separated) k -schemes (resp. smooth finite-type separated X -schemes), with all morphisms of schemes.
(resp. $\mathcal{E}m_X$ with X a k -scheme) (behavior of finite dim. (at least) quasi-compact and quasi-separated)

We denote by $\mathcal{E}h_{\text{Nis}}(\mathcal{E}m_k)$ the category of Nisnevich simplicial sheaves on $\mathcal{E}m_k$ and by $\mathcal{E}h_{\text{Nis}}(\mathcal{E}m_X)$ the category of Nisnevich simplicial sheaves on $\mathcal{E}m_X$.

We denote by $\mathcal{E}p_{\text{mot}}(k)$ the category of motivic spaces, i.e. the full subcategory of $\mathcal{E}h_{\text{Nis}}(\mathcal{E}m_k)$ whose objects are the \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant (pointed) Nis. simplicial sheaves \mathcal{X} (i.e. $\forall U \in \mathcal{E}m_k \mathcal{X}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(U \times \mathbb{A}^1)$ induced by the isomorphism $U \xrightarrow{\sim} U \times \mathbb{A}^1$ is a weak equivalence).
(i.e. $(\mathbb{A}^1)^{\text{proj}}$ is a weak equivalence)

We denote by $\text{SH}(k)$ the stable motivic homotopy category, i.e. $\mathcal{E}p_{\text{mot}}(k)[(\mathbb{P}^1)^{-1}]$.
(\mathbb{P}^1 is inverted)

We denote for $Y \in \mathcal{E}m_k$ by $Y_+ := Y \sqcup_{\text{pt}} \mathcal{E}p_{\text{mot}}(k)$ pointed by $\mathcal{E}p_{\text{mot}}(k)$, by $\Sigma^\infty Y_+ := ((\mathbb{P}^1)^{\wedge n})_+ \wedge Y_+$, and by $\mathbb{T}_X := \Sigma^\infty (\mathcal{E}p_{\text{mot}}(k))_+$ ($\mathbb{T}_X := \Sigma^\infty (X_+)$).

Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a smooth morphism in $\mathcal{E}m_k$. We have the following adjunctions:

$$f_{\#}: \mathcal{E}p_{\text{mot}}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}p_{\text{mot}}(X) \dashv f^*: \mathcal{E}p_{\text{mot}}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}p_{\text{mot}}(Y) \dashv f_*: \mathcal{E}p_{\text{mot}}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}p_{\text{mot}}(X)$$

For each $\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{E}p_{\text{mot}}(Y)$, $f_*(\mathcal{G})$ is the sheaf: $(g: Z \rightarrow X) \mapsto \mathcal{G}(Z \times_Y X)$.

For each $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{E}p_{\text{mot}}(X)$, $f^*(\mathcal{F})$ is the sheaf: $(h: T \rightarrow Y) \mapsto \mathcal{F}(T)$.

$f_{\#}(\mathcal{G})$ is more complicated to define, except when $\mathcal{G} = \text{Hom}(-, h: T \rightarrow Y)$ is the sheaf associated to a Y -scheme $T \in \mathcal{E}m_Y$: $f_{\#}(\mathcal{G})$ is then the sheaf associated to the X -scheme T , i.e. $f_{\#}(\mathcal{G}) = \text{Hom}(-, \text{fol}: T \rightarrow X)$.
(X -scheme via fol) (Yoneda embedding)

These adjunctions descend to SH:

$$f_{\#}: \text{SH}(Y) \rightarrow \text{SH}(X) \dashv f^*: \text{SH}(X) \rightarrow \text{SH}(Y) \dashv f_*: \text{SH}(Y) \rightarrow \text{SH}(X)$$

Recall the six-functor formalism for SH (see for instance "An introduction to six-functor formalism" by Martin Gallauer) with $f^* \dashv f_*$ (as above), $f! \dashv f^!$ and $\otimes \dashv \text{Hom}$ (intertwined) and the following properties (see "Les six opérations de Grothendieck et le formalisme des cycles évanescents dans le monde motivique" by Joseph Ayoub for proofs):
($f^!$ is "exceptional pullback" / "compactly supported pushforward" / "exceptional pushforward")

• If $p: Y \rightarrow X$ is a proper morphism then we have the canonical isomorphism $\alpha_p: p! \rightarrow p_*$.

(7.5.1 in Gallauer's paper)

• If $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is an étale morphism then we have the relative purity isomorphism

$$P_f: f^! \rightarrow f_{\#}$$

(7.5.3 Ex. 7.39 in Gallauer's paper + $f^!$ left adjoint to $f^!$ + $f_{\#}$ left adjoint to f^*)

Note that a morphism of schemes is finite étale iff it is étale and proper.
(i.e. étale and finite)

Def: The duality transfer (morphism) t_f ass. to a finite étale morphism of schemes $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is the following composite morphism in $SH(X)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \Pi_X & \rightarrow & f_* f^* \Pi_X & \xrightarrow{\sim} & f_! f^* \Pi_X & \xrightarrow{\sim} & f_{\#} f^* \Pi_X \xrightarrow{\sim} f_{\#} \Pi_Y \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \text{unit of the} & & \text{ind. by } \alpha_f^{-1} & & \text{ind. by } \beta_f & & \text{ind. by } f^* \Pi_X \cong \Pi_Y \\ \text{adjunction } (f^*, f_*) & & & & & & \\ (\text{on } \Pi_X) & & & & & & \end{array}$$

II Duality transfer and Homotopy modules

Def: A Kiswisch sheaf $M: \mathbb{E}_k^{\text{ét}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}b$ is strictly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant if:

$$\forall X \in \mathbb{E}_k \forall i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \quad H_{\text{Kis}}^i(X, M) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{Kis}}^i(X \times \mathbb{A}_k^1, M)$$

The contraction of M is the Kiswisch sheaf $M_{-1}: \mathbb{E}_k^{\text{ét}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}b$ and if $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ then $\varphi_{-1}: M_{-1} \rightarrow N_{-1}$ is $\varphi(-x \in \mathbb{E}_k)$.
 $(X \in \mathbb{E}_k \mapsto \text{Ker}(M(X \times \mathbb{E}_{m,k}) \rightarrow M(X)))$
 $(f: Y \rightarrow X \mapsto M(f \times \text{id}))$

Def: A homotopy module is a family $(M_n, \mu_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of couples such that:

- $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z} \quad M_n$ is a strictly \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant Kiswisch sheaf;
 - $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \mu_n: M_n \rightarrow (M_{n+1})_{-1}$ is a natural isomorphism.
- Def: morphism of homotopy modules $(\varphi_n): (M_n, \mu_n) \rightarrow (N_n, \rho_n)$ is: $\varphi_n: M_n \rightarrow N_n$ s.t. $(\varphi_{n+1})_{-1} \circ \mu_n = \rho_n \circ \varphi_n$ ($\varphi_n \in \mathbb{Z}$)*

Ex: For all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $E \in SH(k)$, $(\Pi_m(E))_n := a_{\text{Kis}}(U \mapsto [\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} U_i \wedge S^m, E \wedge G_m^{\wedge n}])_{SH(k)}$ is a homotopy module.

Def: The homotopy t-structure on $SH(k)$ is the following couple of full subcategories of $SH(k)$:

- $SH(k)_{\geq 0}$: its objects are the $E \in SH(k)$ such that: $\forall m < 0 \quad \Pi_m(E)_{*} = 0$;
- $SH(k)_{\leq 0}$: its objects are the $E \in SH(k)$ such that: $\forall m > 0 \quad \Pi_m(E)_{*} = 0$.

Prop: $\mathcal{H}t$ is a t-structure. In particular, its heart $SH(k)^{\heartsuit} = SH(k)_{\geq 0} \cap SH(k)_{\leq 0}$ is an abelian category.

Prop: $\begin{cases} SH(k)^{\heartsuit} \rightarrow \text{KerMod} \\ E \mapsto \Pi_0(E)_{*} \end{cases}$ is an equivalence of categories. *Ex: Et. 3 in Fabien Morel's book "A^1-Ab. Top. Field"*

Not: $\forall E \in SH(k)^{\heartsuit}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote by $E_n := \Pi_0(E)_n: U \mapsto [\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} U_i, E \wedge G_m^{\wedge n}]_{SH(k)} \cong [\Pi_0, \Delta^* E]_{SH(k)}$
Rk: $\forall f: Y \rightarrow X$ is finite étale then for each $F \in SH(k)^{\heartsuit}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$\begin{aligned} E_n(Y) &\cong [\Pi_Y, \Delta^* F \wedge G_{m,Y}^{\wedge n}]_{SH(Y)} \cong [\Pi_Y, f^* \Delta^* F \wedge f^* G_{m,X}^{\wedge n}]_{SH(Y)} \\ &\cong [f_{\#} \Pi_Y, \Delta^* F \wedge G_{m,X}^{\wedge n}]_{SH(X)}. \end{aligned}$$

Def: The duality transfer associated to $f: Y \rightarrow X$ finite étale in \mathbb{E}_k , $F \in SH(k)^{\heartsuit}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ is:

$$t_f(F): \begin{cases} F(Y) \rightarrow F(X) \\ \varphi \mapsto \varphi \circ t_f \end{cases}$$

Not: $\forall p, q \in \mathbb{Z}, a \in K_p^{MW}(U), F \in SH(k)^{\heartsuit}, G \in F_q(M), a \cdot G := p_0^*(a) \wedge p_1^*(G) \in F_{p+q}(U \times V)$ (with $p_0: U \times V \rightarrow U, p_1: U \times V \rightarrow V$ the projections).
 If $U=V$ then $a \cdot G := \delta_U^*(a \cdot G)$ with $\delta_U: U \rightarrow U \times U$ the diagonal.

III Properties of duality transform

same afterwards (we can omit in $\text{Sm}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ and W smooth
 by continuity of F , we may assume $\text{pt} \in X$ and $V \in \mathcal{V}_k$)

Prop. 10 (in [Bachmann]): Let $g: Y \rightarrow X$ be a morphism between (essentially) smooth k -schemes, $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be finite étale in Sm_k and $F \in \text{SH}(k) \leftrightarrow \text{hom. mod.}$

[Bachmann]:
 Motivic and
 real étale stable
 homotopy theory

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W := V \times_X Y & \xrightarrow{g} & Y \\ \downarrow p & \lrcorner & \downarrow f \\ V & \xrightarrow{g} & X \end{array}$$

We have the base change formula: $g^* \circ \text{tr}_f(F) = \text{tr}_p(F) \circ g^* : F_n(Y) \rightarrow F_n(V)$
 (for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$)

Ref: First note that in $\text{SH}(V)$: $g^*(\text{tr}_f) = \text{tr}_p$ by naturality of $*$, α , ρ and can. :

$$\begin{cases} \text{tr}_p: \Pi_V \xrightarrow{\text{unit}} p_* p^* \Pi_V \xrightarrow{g_*^{-1}} p_* p^* \Pi_V \xrightarrow{p_*} p_* p^* \Pi_V \xrightarrow{\text{can.}} p_* \Pi_W \\ g^*(\text{tr}_f): g^*(\Pi_X) \xrightarrow{g^*(\text{unit})} g^*(f_* f^* \Pi_X) \xrightarrow{g^*(g_*^{-1})} g^*(f_* f^* \Pi_X) \xrightarrow{g^*(p_*)} g^*(f_* f^* \Pi_X) \xrightarrow{\text{can.}} g^*(f_* \Pi_Y) \end{cases}$$

It is thus enough to show that the following diagram is commutative for any $V: A \rightarrow B$ in $\text{SH}(X)$:
 ($s: X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$ structure map) (note that $g^*: F_n(Y) \rightarrow F_n(W)$ is g^*)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_n(B) = [B, \Delta^* F \wedge G_{m,X}^{\wedge n}]_{\text{SH}(X)} & \xrightarrow{\text{ot}} & [A, \Delta^* F \wedge G_{m,X}^{\wedge n}]_{\text{SH}(X)} = F_n(A) \\ \downarrow g^* & & \downarrow g^* \\ F_n(g^*B) = [g^*B, g^* \Delta^* F \wedge G_{m,V}^{\wedge n}]_{\text{SH}(V)} & \xrightarrow{\text{og}^*(t)} & [g^*A, g^* \Delta^* F \wedge G_{m,V}^{\wedge n}]_{\text{SH}(V)} = F_n(g^*A) \end{array}$$

this follows from the fact that g^* is a functor ($g^*(x) \circ g^*(t) = g^*(x \circ t)$).

My version of Lemma 11 in [Bachmann] (which is only used by Bachmann to prove Endbox 12):

(Lem) Lemma 11: Let $f: X' \rightarrow X$ and $g: Y' \rightarrow Y$ be finite étale in Sm_k and $F \in \text{SH}(k) \leftrightarrow \text{hom. mod.}$

$\forall p, q \in \mathbb{Z} \forall a \in \underline{K}_p^{\text{MW}}(X') \forall b \in F_q(Y') \quad \text{tr}_{f \times g}(F)(a, b) = \text{tr}_f(\underline{K}^{\text{MW}})(a) \cdot \text{tr}_g(F)(b)$

Ref: Note that $\forall a, b = p_{X'}^*(a) \wedge p_{Y'}^*(b) \in [p_{X'}^*(\Pi_{X'}) \wedge p_{Y'}^*(\Pi_{Y'}) \wedge p_{X'}^*(G_{m,X'}^{\wedge p}) \wedge p_{Y'}^*(\Delta_{Y'}^* F \wedge G_{m,Y'}^{\wedge q})]_{\text{SH}(X' \times Y')}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' \times Y' & \xrightarrow{p_{X'}^* p_{Y'}^*} & Y' \\ \downarrow p_{X'}^* & \downarrow p_{Y'}^* & \downarrow g \\ X' & \xrightarrow{\Delta_{X'}} & \text{Spec}(k) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \xrightarrow{\text{can.}} [p_{X'}^*(f_* \Pi_{X'}) \wedge p_{Y'}^*(g_* \Pi_{Y'}) \wedge p_{X'}^*(G_{m,X'Y'}^{\wedge p}) \wedge p_{Y'}^*(\Delta_{X'Y'}^* F \wedge G_{m,X'Y'}^{\wedge q})]_{\text{SH}(X' \times Y')} \\ & \xrightarrow{\text{can.}} [p_{X'}^*(f_* \Pi_{X'}) \wedge p_{Y'}^*(g_* \Pi_{Y'}) \wedge p_{X'}^*(G_{m,X'Y'}^{\wedge p}) \wedge p_{Y'}^*(\Delta_{X'Y'}^* F \wedge G_{m,X'Y'}^{\wedge q})]_{\text{SH}(X' \times Y')} \end{aligned}$$

shall follow from naturality prop. of $g^* \dashv \text{tr}_g$, α , ρ and can. .
 so that when computing $\text{tr}_{f \times g} = p_{X'}^*(\text{tr}_f) \wedge p_{Y'}^*(\text{tr}_g)$ with this we get, by functoriality of $p_{X'}^*$ and $p_{Y'}^*$,
 $p_{X'}^*(\text{tr}_f(\underline{K}^{\text{MW}})(a)) \wedge p_{Y'}^*(\text{tr}_g(F)(b))$, i.e. $\text{tr}_f(\underline{K}^{\text{MW}})(a) \cdot \text{tr}_g(F)(b)$.

Now let us prove this as above.

