Recall that a morphism of rings  $A \to B$  is called weakly étale if both  $A \to B$  and the multiplication morphism  $B \otimes_A B \to B$  are flat. The goal of this sheet is to prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 1** Let  $f : A \to B$  be weakly étale. Then there exists a faithfully flat ind-étale morphism  $g : B \to C$  such that  $g \circ f : A \to C$  is ind-étale.

## Exercise 1.

(1) Prove that if  $f: A \to B$  is ind-étale then f is weakly étale.

(2) Prove that if  $f: A \to B$  and  $g: B \to C$  are weakly étale then  $g \circ f$  is weakly étale. Conversely, prove that if  $g \circ f$  and f are weakly étale then g is weakly étale.

(3) Let  $A \to A'$  be a faithfully flat map. Prove that  $f : A \to B$  is weakly étale if and only if  $f \otimes_A A' : A' \to B \otimes_A A'$  is weakly étale.

**Exercise 2.** Let  $f : A \to B$  be a map of rings. Reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 6.2 from the lecture notes, prove that there exists a commutative diagram



with  $A \to A'$  and  $B \to B'$  faithfully flat and ind-étale, A' and B' w-strictly local and f' w-local.

## Exercise 3.

(1) Prove that any map  $f : X \to Y$  of w-local spectral spaces admits a canonical factorization  $X \to Z \to Y$  in  $\mathcal{S}^{\text{wl}}$  with  $Z \to Y$  a pro-(Zariski localization) and  $X \to Z$  inducing a homeomorphism  $X^c \simeq Z^c$ .

(2) Prove that any w-local map  $f : A \to B$  of w-local rings admits a canonical factorization  $A \xrightarrow{a} C \xrightarrow{b} B$  with C w-local, a a w-local ind-(Zariski localization) and b a w-local map inducing  $\pi_0(\operatorname{Spec}(B)) \simeq \pi_0(\operatorname{Spec}(C))$ .

**Exercise 4.** Assuming the following result (see Olivier's paper *Fermeture intégrale et changements de base absolument plats*):

**Theorem 2** Let A be a strictly henselian local ring, and let B be a weakly étale local A-algebra. Then  $f: A \to B$  is an isomorphism.

prove that if  $f : A \to B$  is a w-local weakly étale map between w-local rings with A w-strictly local, then f is an ind-(Zariski localization).

**Exercise 5.** Use Exercise 2 and Exercise 4 to prove Theorem 1.